Case 2:20-cr-00221-WBS Document 22 Filed 08/25/21 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3	PHILLIP A. TALBERT Acting United States Attorney BRIAN A. FOGERTY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100	
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	United States of America	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-CR-221 WBS
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE
11	v.	STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
12	ARTURO PACHECO,	DATE: August 30, 2021
13	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb
14		
15		
16	The United States of America, by and through Assistant U.S. Attorney Brian A. Fogerty, and	
17	defendant Arturo Pacheco, by and through his counsel David W. Dratman, hereby agree and stipulate as	
18	follows:	
19	1. On November 19, 2020, a grand jury returned a four-count indictment, charging Pacheco	
20	with two counts of deprivation of rights under color of law, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 242, and two	
21	counts of falsification of records in a federal investigation, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1519. ECF No. 1.	
22	2. On November 20, 2020, Pacheco appeared before the Honorable Carolyn K. Delaney, at	
23	which hearing the court set this matter for a status conference and excluded the time between November	
24	20, 2020, and January 12, 2021, from the computation of time in which trial must commence under the	
25	Speedy Trial Act. ECF No. 5. The case was subsequently reassigned to this Court, and by subsequent	
26	order the Court excluded time and set this case for a status conference on August 30, 2021. ECF No. 20.	

discovery, including several hundred pages of documents and audio recordings. In August 2021, the

Since the initiation of the charges against the defendant, the government has produced

3.

27

28

Case 2:20-cr-00221-WBS Document 22 Filed 08/25/21 Page 2 of 3

government supplemented its production with additional materials. Counsel for the defendant needs 1 2 time to investigate the allegations underlying the charges in the indictment, examine the evidence, confer with his client, and prepare for trial while also handling other matters in federal and state court. 3 4 4. Based on the foregoing facts, the parties request that the Court vacate the August 30, 5 2021, status conference and reset the case for a status conference to be held on November 1, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. The parties further agree and request that the Court exclude the time between August 30, 6 7 2021, and November 1, 2021, from the computation of time in which trial must commence under the 8 Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to Local Code T-4. The parties agree that the interests of justice served by 9 excluding the time between August 30, 2021, and November 1, 2021, under the Speedy Trial Act, 10 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The parties request that the 11 Court adopt the facts set forth herein and order time excluded from August 30, 2021, to and including 12 November 1, 2021, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), and Local Code T-4, to allow 13 defense counsel to investigate and prepare for trial. 14 PHILLIP A. TALBERT Dated: August 24, 2021 15 Acting United States Attorney 16 By: /s/ BRIAN A. FOGERTY 17 **BRIAN A. FOGERTY Assistant United States Attorney** 18 19 Dated: August 24, 2021 /s/ DAVID W. DRATMAN 20 DAVID W. DRATMAN Counsel for Defendant 21 Arturo Pacheco 22 23 24 25

2

26

27

28

Case 2:20-cr-00221-WBS Document 22 Filed 08/25/21 Page 3 of 3

7 8

ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the parties' stipulation in its entirety as its order. The Court vacates the August 30, 2021, status conference and resets the matter for a status conference on November 1, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. The Court also specifically finds that based on the facts set forth in the parties' stipulation, the failure to exclude the time between August 30, 2021, and November 1, 2021, would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Time from August 30, 2021, to and including November 1, 2021, is excluded from the computation of time within which the trial of this case must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), and Local Code T-4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 24, 2021

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Va Shubt